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The Families Forward Demonstration (FFD) examined new strategies to increase the earnings of parents who owe child support but are unable to fully meet their obligations due to low earnings. Operated by child support agencies in five jurisdictions across the country from 2018 to 2020, FFD sought to integrate employment and training services into existing public child support programs. The FFD program included free occupational skill-building activities, to help parents qualify for higher-paying jobs, as well as employment services and wraparound supports. It also focused on “responsive” child support services that helped parents understand their support obligations, and even suspended certain enforcement actions while parents participated in the program.

This report presents the findings from the implementation and outcome studies of FFD.

KEY FINDINGS

• When tailoring the FFD model to the local context, each child support agency leveraged flexibility within its existing policies to design its child support services. This included assigning dedicated FFD child support workers to the program. These staff members were also encouraged to consider participants’ employment and training activities when deciding how or whether to apply enforcement measures that were already under the discretion of their agency.

• Recruiting parents to FFD and determining whether they would be eligible for the program was a labor- and time-intensive process. The programs struggled with recruitment for an array of reasons, including parents’ negative perceptions of child support, the agencies’ limited experience with recruitment, and a lack of alignment between parents’ interests and the service offerings.

• Nearly all parents enrolled in the study received some responsive child support services. This customer service–oriented approach made a positive impression on parents and child support staff alike, improving parents’ perception of child support and facilitating communication between the agency and participants.

• Almost 60 percent of study enrollees started an occupational skills training program. Among these parents, 70 percent completed training but less than half of them were employed at some point during the first six months after study enrollment.

• Following study enrollment, parents’ monthly child support order amounts declined, reversing upward trends prior to study enrollment. Additionally, parents were more likely to make a monthly payment and their monthly total payment amounts increased, reversing steady or downward trends prior to study enrollment. Together, decreasing order amounts and increasing payments resulted in increasing compliance rates after enrolling in the study, relative to declining trends prior to enrolling.

While more rigorous testing is needed, the FFD model shows some promise for connecting parents to jobs in their chosen career path and for improving their compliance with their child support obligations. However, the program would benefit from addressing operational challenges around recruitment and service delivery to scale up and serve a greater number of parents.
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Child support is a critical source of income for families and lifts around one million people out of poverty each year. The Families Forward Demonstration (FFD) examined new strategies to increase the earnings of parents who owe child support but who are unable to fully meet their obligations due to low earnings.

Operated by child support agencies in five jurisdictions across the country, FFD sought to integrate employment and training services into public child support programs. Unlike most other child support–led employment initiatives, which focus on job search and placement services, the FFD program emphasized free occupational skill-building activities, combined with a suite of complementary services, to help parents qualify for higher-paying jobs.

This demonstration project was developed by MDRC in collaboration with the W. K. Kellogg Foundation, the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE), and participating child support agencies. MDRC studied FFD to understand how child support agencies developed and implemented FFD in their communities and to gain insight into the experiences and outcomes of parents who took part in the initiative. Over 760 parents enrolled in the study between 2018 and 2020.

FFD was supported through a grant from the W. K. Kellogg Foundation, public and private resources raised by participating child support agencies, and matching federal funds through Section 1115 Waivers approved by OCSE.

Child support payments make up about half of the average income of parents with low income who receive the payments. Consistent child support payments are critical to these parents, who count on the income to support their child. Yet more than half of parents who are owed child support receive no payments or partial payments. At the same time, the majority of parents who struggle to pay child support are unemployed or underemployed, making it very difficult for them to meet their support obligations. Under the direction of OCSE, state and local child support agencies are increasingly trying to balance holding parents accountable for their support obligations with the need to address their financial capacity to pay.

FFD PROGRAM MODEL

The overall goal of FFD was to help parents with low and moderate incomes make reliable child support payments by increasing employment, job stability, and earnings. It focused on demand-driven occupational skills training designed to meet local employers’ need for skilled labor, as well as parents’ need for high-quality jobs with advancement opportunities.

FFD provided access to free occupational skills training, removing cost as a barrier to entry. The program targeted middle-skill jobs that could be accessed with training that took six months or less to complete. Other program services helped parents participate in such training and find employment in their chosen field, suspended discretionary child support enforcement activities, helped parents understand their child support obligations, and guided them through order modification and arrears-forgiveness processes when appropriate. Figure ES.1 provides an overview of the FFD services and their intended outcomes.

FIGURE ES.1
Families Forward Demonstration Logic Model

Parents receive the FFD services components…

…that are tailored to their local context…

…and lead to positive outcomes in training and employment in the short term…

and support of their children in the long term.

Free occupational skills training that leads to in-demand jobs with good wages and opportunities for advancement

Employment services and wraparound supports that help parents gain and maintain employment in their chosen field

Responsive child support services that make it easier for parents to take part in training and understand their child support cases

• Labor market conditions
• Needs of local employers
• Quality and offerings of local training providers

• Quality and offerings of local service partners
• Needs, interests, and characteristics of parents

• Child support agency policy, regulatory, and judicial environment

Complete training and earn locally or nationally recognized credential

Secure and retain job in targeted sector

Parents better understand their child support obligations and actions on their case

Parents increase regularity of child support payments

Parents increase completeness of child support payments
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Child support agencies in five locations implemented FFD: Cuyahoga County, Ohio; Franklin County, Ohio; Calhoun and Jackson counties in Michigan; New York City; and Benton and Franklin counties in Washington State. They operated the program in partnership with local colleges, training providers, workforce development agencies, and community-based organizations that offered screening and enrollment, employment, and training services. The child support agencies began designing their FFD programs in 2016 and launched the programs between 2018 and 2019 as they finalized their plans for service delivery and partnerships. The programs enrolled parents in the study through June 2020; however, many programs stopped enrolling parents earlier due to disruptions from the COVID-19 pandemic.

**RESEARCH QUESTIONS, DATA SOURCES, AND ANALYSIS APPROACHES**

This study focuses on how child support agencies developed and implemented FFD. It also includes some initial information about its outcomes. The research team sought to answer six questions:

1. How was the FFD program developed, implemented, and adapted by child support agencies and their service partners?
2. What were the characteristics of parents who decided to take part in FFD?
3. What were enrollees’ participation levels and patterns?
4. What were the experiences of parents who enrolled in FFD?
5. What were the training, employment, and child support outcomes for parents who enrolled in FFD?
6. What aspects of the local, state, and community context constrained or enabled implementation of FFD?

The study’s data sources included interviews with child support agency staff and program partners who provided services; interviews with parents who enrolled in the study; survey data collected at the time of study enrollment; data from service providers on program participation, service delivery, and job placements; and administrative data from child support agencies. The COVID-19 pandemic, and the economic fallout associated with it, had direct implications for the study follow-up period. The FFD study was able to follow participants for 6 months after enrollment in all sites, and for 12 months for a subset of the study sample. Results from similar prior studies indicate that FFD’s follow-up period was likely too short to observe effects of FFD on employment and child support outcomes.

---

5. The study also includes some initial information about the expenses associated with operating FFD.
The research team used an interrupted time series nonexperimental design to test whether trends in child support outcomes after enrolling in FFD were different from previous trends for the same individuals. While suggestive, this design did not allow the research team to attribute change in these outcomes to FFD. Thus, results from these analyses should be considered exploratory.

**KEY FINDINGS**

The study provides important information for practitioners and policymakers about how child support agencies might support efforts to help parents increase their earnings and support their children financially.

- Child support agencies tailored the FFD model to their local context, developing plans for service delivery and partnerships. While challenges with the procurement of service partners slowed down some agencies, their development of the program’s child support services was a bright spot: Child support agencies leveraged flexibility within their existing policies to design “responsive” services that focused on assigning dedicated FFD child support workers and encouraging them to take into account participants’ employment and training activities when deciding how to apply the enforcement measures that were already under their discretion.

- A key question was whether parents would be interested in taking part in a skills training opportunity led by a child support agency. The programs struggled with recruitment for an array of reasons, including parents’ negative perceptions of child support, the agencies’ limited experience with recruitment, lack of alignment between parents’ interests and the service offerings, and the general challenges of getting word out about a new program. Recruiting parents to FFD and determining whether they would be eligible for the program was a labor- and time-intensive process.

- Nearly all parents enrolled in the study received some responsive child support services. This customer service–oriented approach made a positive impression on parents and child support staff alike, improving parents’ perception of child support and facilitating communication between the agency and participants.

- Almost 60 percent of study enrollees started a training program. Among these parents, 70 percent completed training but less than half of them were employed at some point during the first six months after study enrollment.

---

Following study enrollment, parents’ monthly child support order amounts declined, reversing upward trends prior to enrollment. Declines in order amounts are likely due to child support agencies “right-sizing” parents’ orders so that they align with parents’ current financial circumstances. Additionally, parents were more likely to make a monthly payment and their monthly total payment amounts increased, reversing steady or downward trends prior to study enrollment. Together, decreasing order amounts and increasing payments resulted in increasing compliance rates after enrolling in the study, relative to declining trends prior to enrolling.

While more rigorous testing is needed, the FFD model shows some promise for connecting parents to jobs in their chosen career path and for improving their compliance with their child support obligations. However, the program would benefit from addressing operational challenges around recruitment and service delivery to scale up and serve a greater number of parents.
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